

The first article by Mark Yarhouse of Regent University in Virginia made a strong case for the ethics of reparative-type therapies. The first appeared in *Psychotherapy* (vol. 35, Summer 1998, no. 2, pp. 234-259), and was entitled "When Clients Seek Treatment for Same-Sex Attraction: Ethical Issues in the 'Right to Choose' Debate." Such articles are few and far between in the current political climate.

Many psychotherapists applauded *Psychotherapy's* decision

to publish. Several letters of appreciation for the Yarhouse article were published in the subsequent issue of *Psychotherapy*, suggesting that—in spite of the professional associations' recent public rebukes—there is a groundswell of support for sexual-reorientation therapy.

Letter writers included Steven Dahl, LCSW; J. Douglas LeCheminant, MEdW; John J. Villaroman, MSW; Stephen D. ZoBell, Ph.D.; Terry Robrecht, LCSW; and John T. Hill, MFT.

Reorientation Therapists Applaud the Journal *Psychotherapy* for Yarhouse Article

Two recent journal articles by Mark Yarhouse of Regent University in Virginia made a strong case for the ethics of reparative-type therapies. The first appeared in *Psychotherapy* (vol. 35, Summer 1998, no. 2, pp. 234-259), and was entitled "When Clients Seek Treatment for Same-Sex Attraction: Ethical Issues in the 'Right to Choose' Debate." Such articles are few and far between in the current political climate.

Many psychotherapists applauded *Psychotherapy's* decision

to publish. Several letters of appreciation for the Yarhouse article were published in the subsequent issue of *Psychotherapy*, suggesting that—in spite of the professional associations' recent public rebukes—there is a groundswell of support for sexual-reorientation therapy.

Letter writers included Steven Dahl, LCSW; J. Douglas LeCheminant, MEdW; John J. Villaroman, MSW; Stephen D. ZoBell, Ph.D.; Terry Robrecht, LCSW; and John T. Hill, MFT.